

Literatur

Boruch RF: Assuring Confidentiality of Responses in Social Research: A Note on Strategies.

The American Sociologist 1971; 6: 308–311.

Dalton DR, Wimbush JC, Daily CM: Using the unmatched count technique (UCT) to estimate base rates for sensitive behavior. Personnel Psychology 1994; 47: 817–829.

Dickson-Swift V, James EL, Kippen S, Liamputtong P: Researching sensitive topics:

Qualitative research as emotion work. Qualitative Research 2009; 9: 61–79.

Dietz P, Dalaker R, Letzel S, Ulrich R, Simon P: Analgesics use in competitive triathletes: its relationship to doping and on predicting its usage. J Sports Sci 2016a; 34: 1965–1969.

Dietz P, Iberl B, Schuett E, van Poppel M, Ulrich R, Sattler MC: Prevalence Estimates for Pharmacological Neuroenhancement in Austrian University Students: Its Relation to Health-Related Risk Attitude and the Framing Effect of Caffeine Tablets. Front Pharmacol 2018a; 9: 494.

Dietz P, Quermann A, van Poppel MNM et al.: Physical and cognitive doping in university students using the unrelated question model (UQM): Assessing the influence of the probability of receiving the sensitive question on prevalence estimation. PLoS One 2018b; 13: e0197270.

Dietz P, Soyka M, Franke AG: Pharmacological Neuroenhancement in the Field of Economics-Poll Results from an Online Survey. Front Psychol 2016b; 7: 520.

Dietz P, Striegel H, Franke AG, Lieb K, Simon P, Ulrich R: Randomized response estimates for the 12-month prevalence of cognitive-enhancing drug use in university students. Pharmacotherapy 2013a; 33: 44–50.

Dietz P, Ulrich R, Dalaker R et al.: Associations between Physical and Cognitive Doping - A Cross-Sectional Study in 2.997 Triathletes. PLoS One 2013b; 8: e78702.

Edgell SE, Himmelfarb S, Duchan KL: Validity of Forced Responses in a Randomized Response Model. Sociological Methods & Research 1982; 11: 89–100.

- Enosh G: The Interactive Construction of Narrative Styles in Sensitive Interviews: The Case of Domestic Violence Research. *Qualitative Inquiry* 2005; 11: 588–617.
- Franke AG, Bagusat C, Dietz P et al.: Use of illicit and prescription drugs for cognitive or mood enhancement among surgeons. *BMC Med* 2013; 11: 102.
- Greenberg BG, Abulela, A. L. A., Simmons WR, Horvitz DG: Unrelated Question Randomized Response Model - Theoretical Framework. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 1969; 64: 520–536.
- Lee RM: *Doing research on sensitive topics*. London, Newbury Park, Calif.: Sage Publications, 1993.
- Lee RM, Renzetti CM: The Problems of Researching Sensitive Topics: An Overview and Introduction. *The American Behavioral Scientist* 1990; 33: 510–528.
- Lensvelt-Mulders, G. J. L. M., Hox JJ, van der Heijden, P. G. M., Maas, C. J. M.: Meta-analysis of randomized response research thirty-five years of validation. *Sociological Methods & Research* 2005; 33: 319–348.
- Moshagen M, Hilbig BE, Erdfelder E, Moritz A: An experimental validation method for questioning techniques that assess sensitive issues. *Exp Psychol* 2014; 61: 48–54.
- Moshagen M, Musch J, Erdfelder E: A stochastic lie detector. *Behav Res Methods* 2012; 44: 222–231.
- Pitsch W, Emrich E, Klein M: Doping in elite sports in Germany: results of a www survey. *European Journal for Sport and Society* 2007; 4: 89–102.
- Possick C: Reflexive Positioning in a Politically Sensitive Situation: Dealing With the Threats of Researching the West Bank Settler Experience. *Qualitative Inquiry* 2009; 15: 859–875.
- Sieber JE, Stanley B: Ethical and professional dimensions of socially sensitive research. *American Psychologist* 1988; 43: 49–55.

- Sollund R: Tested Neutrality: Emotional Challenges in Qualitative Interviews on Homicide and Rape. *Journal of Scandinavian Studies in Criminology and Crime Prevention* 2008; 9: 181–201.
- Ulrich R, Schroter H, Striegel H, Simon P: Asking sensitive questions: A statistical power analysis of randomized response models. *Psychol Methods* 2012; 17: 623–641.
- Walls P, Parahoo K, Fleming P, McCaughan E: Issues and considerations when researching sensitive issues with men: examples from a study of men and sexual health. *Nurse Res* 2010; 18: 26–34.
- Warner SL: Randomized response: a survey technique for eliminating evasive answer bias. *J Am Stat Assoc* 1965; 60: 63–66.
- Warner SL: The Linear Randomized Response Model. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 1971; 66: 884.
- Wolff W, Sandouqa Y, Brand R: Using the simple sample count to estimate the frequency of prescription drug neuroenhancement in a sample of Jordan employees. *Int J Drug Policy* 2016; 31: 51–55.
- Yu J-W, Tian G-L, Tang M-L: Two new models for survey sampling with sensitive characteristic: Design and analysis. *Metrika* 2008; 67: 251–263.